

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/698,615	Applicant(s) RENGGLI ET AL.	
	Examiner Ehud Gartenberg	Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:

 (1) Ehud Gartenberg.

 (2) Robert Reeser.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2003
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35USC 102/103 (for claims 1, 8, 15 only)

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 15, 6, 13, 18, 20-22

Prior art documents discussed:

Justice and Wooten

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached on the attached Examiner's amendment that put the Application in condition of allowance by defining over the prior art of record.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)